

Independent Evaluation of the World Heritage Training Series

Management Response

November 2018

Geneva, Switzerland

Name of programme/office/unit:	UNITAR Hiroshima Office		
Name of programme manager	Mihoko KUMAMOTO		
Name of project undertaking	World Heritage Training Series	AGB #	
Name of evaluation	Final evaluation		
Date:	20.11.2018		

SECTION I – Comments on Findings, Conclusions
NA

SECTION II - RECOMMENDATIONS		Management Response and Planned Action				
Recommendation		Accepted Partially Accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 1.1.	The Hiroshima Office maintains funding for the World Heritage initiative for time necessary to phase out adequately.	Accepted			Under implementation	
Management Comments: As of Nov 2018, HO deems Scenario 1 the most appropriate course of action. Nonetheless, Hiroshima will remain as world centre of peace and continue to provide a space catalytic for training on issues surrounding heritage and peace. Therefore, if new funding opportunities arise, there might be possibility to plan a new training programme						

		on heritage and peace as suggested in Scenario 3. In such case, lessons captured in this evaluation will be fully reflected.	
--	--	--	--

Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 1.2. The Hiroshima Office strategizes with World Heritage Centre, UNITAR resource persons and Advisory Bodies to identify relevant knowledge and training products and channels of use in wider capacity building efforts.		Partially accepted			Under implementation	
		Management Comments: The Hiroshima Office has strategized with UNITAR key resource persons who have been involved in training programme for a long time to plan and design a relevant knowledge product.				
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 1.3. The Hiroshima Office develops a two-page phase out concept note indicating phase-out objectives, outputs, activities and time-frame.		Accepted			Planned	
		Management Comments:				
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019

						(planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 1.4.	The Hiroshima Office allocates funding for the Phasing Out concept including the production of training tools and knowledge products (including the possibility of products in multiple languages). Co-funding arrangements are explored with WHITRAP, WHC, the ABs and others.	Partially accepted.			Under implementation	
		Management Comments: Funding that has been secured for this training programme has already been allocated to develop a knowledge produce.				
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 2.1.	The Hiroshima Office conducts consultation with World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies about their readiness and ability to formalize training partnership over a multi-year period. Eventually develop a more formal partnership agreement with relevant global and regional capacity building efforts.					
		Management Comments:				
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 2.2.	The Hiroshima Office, in consultation with partners, develops a theory of change and explicit programme					
		Management Comments:				

	framework with the inclusion of specific outcome indicators and key target audiences including links to the SDG targets and implementation framework.					
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 2.3.	The Hiroshima Office develops new advertisement material and longer time-frame for recruitment.			Management Comments:		
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 2.4.	The Hiroshima Office explores opportunities for scholarship and sponsorship arrangements with bilateral, multilateral and NGO agencies.			Management Comments:		
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 3.1.	The Hiroshima Office, with support from UNITAR headquarters, explores donor interest in supporting the development of a new course			Management Comments:		

	on heritage, peace and conflict resolution.					
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 3.2.	The Hiroshima Office engages with the Hiroshima prefecture in exploring how to design and ground such a training series with the local experience and networks.	Management Comments:				
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 3.3.	The Hiroshima Office engages with UNESCO and the World Heritage Centre in designing it in partnership notably by identifying stakeholder needs in key conflict-ridden heritage sites.	Management Comments:				
Recommendation		Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status in 2019 (planned, under implementation, implemented)
Scenario 3.4.	The Hiroshima Office identifies and allocates adequate resources for a two to three-year trial period.	Management Comments:				

